

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,666	05/01/2006	Toshihisa Nagata	R2184.0492/P492	8451
24998 DICKSTEIN S	7590 03/10/201 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STI	REET NW	BEHM, HARRY RAYMOND		
Washington, D	OC 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2838		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,666	NAGATA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HARRY BEHM	2838		

	HARRY BEHM	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period red valued red 77 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	. ,			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cied ciairris.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	· ·		
7. Me for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
Impart of Content Exercises     Impart of the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/Monico Lowis/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2838

## Continuation of 3:

The amended claims present new issues, such as wherein the center portion of the area of the semiconductor chip has no transistor formed thereon; not previously presented that require additional consideration and/or search.